

(Rel 102-3/05	Pub 605)	FORM 9-3	9-11
•	-		

P-1263 Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Heinz-Dieter Metzemacher, et al.

Application No.: 10 / 541,625

Group No.:

1624

July 6, 2005 Filed:

Examiner:

not yet assigned

MASTER BATCHES BASED ON PRE-EXFOLIATED NANOCLAYS AND THE USE OF THE SAME

Commissioner for Patents

Confirmation No. 8299

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY				
WARNING: Submission of a status letter after a N in patent term adjustment under 37 (111-112, June 26, 2001.	lotice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG			
1. More than 14 months have pass	sed since			
NEW APPLICATIONS	•			
the filing of this application on	<u>July 6, 2005</u>			
No communication has been reindicating action on this application	eceived from the Patent and Trademark Office			
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS				
the filing of a response on				
No further communication has Office.	been received from the Patent and Trademark			
☐ APPEALED APPLICATION				
The Appeal Brief was filed	on			
When using Express Mail, the E	37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* xpress Mail label number is mandatory; ertilication is optional.)			
hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this	correspondence is being:			
	MAILING "			
deposited with the United States Postal Service Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	n an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.			
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 ° '□ · as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"			
with sufficient postage as first class mail.	Mailing Label No (mandatory)			
	NSMISSION			
	Worsthy Goodlett			
	Signature			
Date: 12, 300C	Dorothy Goodlett			

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1 6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

•		is the terms holow)
ł	(check and complete a	
	☐ An Examiner's Answer	er was mailed on
	☐ A Reply to the Exam	ner's Answer was submitted on
	ALLOWED APPLICATIONS	
	the mailing of FORM POL-327 an	d/or Examiner's Amendment on
	aranciata hay below. A stamped retur	esent status of this application, by checking n-addressed envelope is provided.
NOTE:	M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Editi follows:	on, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as
	"NEW APPLICATION	
	of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowand in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (F the need for status inquiries even as a pre-	for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) e of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate cautionary measure where the applicant may believe his used to issue on the first examination. However, as an original where a Notice of Allowance is not received within 7.
	dockets of each art unit and TC with respec	ninimize the spread in dates among the various examiner it to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the irs reach the applications or action.
	"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to	query the status of a new application.
	"AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
·	two months of the date the examiner recei in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 0 A postcard receipt for replies to Office action will be considered prima facie proof of receip filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the	aken up by the examiner and an action completed within wes the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not 5 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. It is, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, not of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely ne postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate except of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the y was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
	- Sid	DU DO DO DE NATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Reg. No.:	31,945 <u>S</u>	cott R. Cox
		e or print name of practitioner)
Tel. No.:	. \ 302 / 307 1223	00 West Jefferson St., Suite 2100 Address
	L	ouisville, KY 40202
Customer		
		•

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

•	•	-

ìR		

(Rel 102-3/05 Pub 605)

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIC	ON SERIAL NO.	/	IS CUR	RENTLY	
	AS:	SIGNED TO GROUP	·	AND AWA	ITS:	
		ACTION BY THE	E EXAMINER.			
		APPLICANT'S R	ESPONSE TO	THE OFFICE AC	TION MAILED	
						
APPEAL	. NO)				
				O OF PATENT API	PEALS AND INTERFE	٦-
_	EN	CES				
		DATE OF HEARING	G EXPECTED .		 .	
		DECISION EXPECT	red			